



FUAM

Journal of Pure and Applied Science

Available online at
www.fuamjpas.org.ng



An official Publication of
College of Science
Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University,
Makurdi.



Exponential Type Estimators of Finite Population Mean in Stratified Random Sampling

*T. Uba, A. J. Ikughur, S. C. Nwaosu and P. O. Agada

Department of Statistics, Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University, Benue State, Nigeria.

Correspondence E-mail: ubatersoo@yahoo.com

Received: 29/04/2023 Accepted: 14/06/2023 Published online: 15/08/2023

Abstract

In this paper, alternative hybrid exponential regression-cum-ratio type estimators of finite population mean in stratified random sampling utilizing two auxiliary variables are proposed. The proposed estimators are an extension of estimator to stratified random sampling. The expressions for the bias and Mean Square Error (MSE) of the estimators are derived and asymptotic properties of the proposed estimators investigated. A comprehensive simulation study to show the efficacy of the estimators as compared to conventional estimators was carried out using Coefficient of Variation as a performance measure. The results of the simulation study have shown that the proposed estimators were not only asymptotic and more efficient, but they also produced estimates that were more precise than most of the existing estimators considered in this study.

Keywords: Variables, Bias, Coefficient of Variation, Hybrid Estimators, Mean Square Error

Introduction

When auxiliary information are utilized at the estimation stage, the ratio, product and regression methods are usually employed for a given sampling design [2]. Under simple random sampling, several authors have suggested estimators and, of course, introduced certain modifications to estimators of population characteristics especially when information on auxiliary variable that is highly correlated with the variable of interest is known. These include works by [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], etc. Since stratified random sampling has been proved for providing more efficient estimates of population parameters over SRS, several authors have extended application of modified estimators in SRS to stratified random sampling. For instance, [8] suggested a ratio-cum-product estimator of mean using correlation coefficient between study and auxiliary variates in stratified sampling whereas, [9] proposed a ratio-cum-product estimator in stratified random sampling. Using information on the coefficient of kurtosis of auxiliary variables, [10] proposed an improved dual to ratio cum dual to product estimator of finite population mean in stratified sampling, [11] estimator in stratified sampling is modification of [10] estimator using two transformed auxiliary variables. In fact, [5] estimator of finite population in Stratified sampling is a turning point in the use of auxiliary variable for increasing the precision of estimators of population characteristics when the correlation between auxiliary variable and the study variable is weak. Based on this [12] suggested hybrid

type exponential ratio-cum-product estimator of population mean in stratified sampling.

The stratified sampling scheme is preferable in situations where the population is heterogeneous in nature but it is possible to create non-overlapping groups with similar characteristics (homogeneous units). This study constructs alternative hybrid exponential regression-cum-ratio type estimators of population mean in stratified random sampling by considering the correlation coefficient as the parameter space.

Materials and Methods

Preliminaries and notations

Consider a finite population $U = \{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_N\}$ of size N and it is partitioned into L strata of size, N_h ($h = 1, 2, \dots, L$). Let Y be the study variable of interest and X and Z be two supplementary variables taking values y_{hi}, x_{hi} and z_{hi} ($h = 1, 2, \dots, L; i = 1, 2, \dots, N_h$) on i^{th} unit of the h^{th} stratum. A sample of size n_h is drawn at random without replacement from each stratum which comprises a sample of size, $n = \sum_{h=1}^L n_h$. Basically, N_h : Population size of h^{th} stratum, n_h : Sample size of h^{th} stratum, $\bar{Y}_h = \frac{1}{N_h} \sum_{h=1}^{N_h} y_{hi}$: h^{th} stratum population mean of the study variable Y , $\bar{X}_h = \frac{1}{N_h} \sum_{h=1}^{N_h} x_{hi}$: h^{th} stratum population mean of the auxiliary variable X , $\bar{Z}_h = \frac{1}{N_h} \sum_{h=1}^{N_h} z_{hi}$: h^{th} stratum population mean of the auxiliary variable Z , $\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^L \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} y_{hi}$: population mean of study variable Y ,

<https://fuamjpc>



Y , $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^L \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} x_{hi}$: population mean of auxiliary variable X , $\bar{Z} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^L \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} z_{hi}$: population mean of the auxiliary variable Z , $\bar{y}_h = \frac{1}{n_h} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} y_{hi}$: sample mean for the study variable Y for h^{th} stratum, $\bar{x}_h = \frac{1}{n_h} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} x_{hi}$: sample mean for auxiliary variable X for h^{th} stratum, $\bar{z}_h = \frac{1}{n_h} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} z_{hi}$: sample mean for auxiliary variable Z for h^{th} stratum, $W_h = \frac{N_h}{N}$: stratum weight of h^{th} stratum, $\bar{y}_{st} = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{y}_h$: unbiased estimator of population mean of study variable Y , $\bar{x}_{st} = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{x}_h$: unbiased estimator of population mean of auxiliary variable X , $\bar{z}_{st} = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{z}_h$: unbiased estimator of population mean of auxiliary variable Z , $S_{y,h}^2 = \frac{1}{N_h-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} (y_{hi} - \bar{y}_h)^2$: h^{th} stratum population variance of study variable Y , $S_{x,h}^2 = \frac{1}{N_h-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} (x_{hi} - \bar{x}_h)^2$: h^{th} stratum population variance of auxiliary variable X , $S_{z,h}^2 = \frac{1}{N_h-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} (z_{hi} - \bar{z}_h)^2$: h^{th} stratum population variance of auxiliary variable Z , $S_{y,x,h} = \frac{1}{N_h-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} (y_{hi} - \bar{y}_h)(x_{hi} - \bar{x}_h)$: h^{th} stratum population covariance between Y and X , $S_{y,z,h} = \frac{1}{N_h-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} (y_{hi} - \bar{y}_h)(z_{hi} - \bar{z}_h)$: h^{th} stratum population covariance between Y and Z , $S_{x,z,h} = \frac{1}{N_h-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} (x_{hi} - \bar{x}_h)(z_{hi} - \bar{z}_h)$: h^{th} stratum population covariance between X and Z , $\bar{x}_h^* = (1 - g_{h,e_{x,h}})\bar{x}_h$: h^{th} stratum sample mean of transformed auxiliary variable X , $\bar{z}_h^* = (1 - g_{h,e_{z,h}})\bar{z}_h$: h^{th} stratum sample mean of transformed auxiliary variable Z . We also define: ρ_{HHH} : The parameter subspace, $(0.7 < \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 < 1)$; ρ_{HLL} : the parameter subspace, $(0 < \rho_2, \rho_3 < 0.5 \cup 0.7 < \rho_1 < 1)$; ρ_{LLL} : The parameter subspace, $(0 < \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 < 0.5)$ and ρ_{LLL} : the parameter subspace, $(-0.5 < \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 < 0)$, where, ρ_1 : Correlation coefficient between Y and Z , ρ_2 : Correlation coefficient between X and Z , ρ_3 : Correlation coefficient between Y and X .

$\bar{y}_h$ ($x_{hi} - \bar{x}_h$): h^{th} stratum population covariance between Y and X , $S_{y,z,h} = \frac{1}{N_h-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} (y_{hi} - \bar{y}_h)(z_{hi} - \bar{z}_h)$: h^{th} stratum population covariance between Y and Z , $S_{x,z,h} = \frac{1}{N_h-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} (x_{hi} - \bar{x}_h)(z_{hi} - \bar{z}_h)$: h^{th} stratum population covariance between X and Z , $\bar{x}_h^* = (1 - g_{h,e_{x,h}})\bar{x}_h$: h^{th} stratum sample mean of transformed auxiliary variable X , $\bar{z}_h^* = (1 - g_{h,e_{z,h}})\bar{z}_h$: h^{th} stratum sample mean of transformed auxiliary variable Z . We also define: ρ_{HHH} : The parameter subspace, $(0.7 < \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 < 1)$; ρ_{HLL} : the parameter subspace, $(0 < \rho_2, \rho_3 < 0.5 \cup 0.7 < \rho_1 < 1)$; ρ_{LLL} : The parameter subspace, $(0 < \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 < 0.5)$ and ρ_{LLL} : the parameter subspace, $(-0.5 < \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 < 0)$, where, ρ_1 : Correlation coefficient between Y and Z , ρ_2 : Correlation coefficient between X and Z , ρ_3 : Correlation coefficient between Y and X .

Existing estimators in literature

Ratio-cum-product estimator in stratified sampling

[9] proposed a ratio-cum-product estimator in stratified random sampling. It is presented as follows:

$$\bar{y}_{RP.st} = \bar{y}_{st} \left(\frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{x}_{st}} \right) \left(\frac{\bar{Z}}{\bar{z}_{st}} \right) \quad (1)$$

$$Bias(\bar{y}_{RP.st}) = \bar{y}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L \theta_h W_h^2 (C_{xh}^2 - C_{yxh} - C_{xzh} + C_{yzh}) \quad (2)$$

$$MSE(\bar{y}_{RP.st}) = \bar{y}_{st}^2 \sum_{h=1}^L \theta_h W_h^2 [C_{y,h}^2 + C_{x,h}^2 - 2(C_{y,x,h} - C_{y,z,h} + C_{x,z,h})] \quad (3)$$

Improved ratio-cum-product estimator

Using information on the coefficient of kurtosis of auxiliary variables, [10] proposed an improved estimator of finite population mean in stratified sampling. It is given by:

$$\bar{y}_{Tet.st} = \bar{y}_{st} \left[\frac{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \{\bar{X}_h + \beta_{2h}(x)\}}{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \{\bar{x}_h + \beta_{2h}(x)\}} \right] \left[\frac{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \{\bar{Z}_h + \beta_{2h}(z)\}}{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \{\bar{z}_h + \beta_{2h}(z)\}} \right] \quad (4)$$

$$Bias(\bar{y}_{Tet.st}) = \bar{y}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L \theta_h W_h^2 \left[\left(\frac{S_{zh}^2}{\bar{X}_{1h}^2} - \frac{S_{xzh}}{\bar{X}_{1h} \bar{Z}_{1h}} \right) + \frac{1}{\bar{Y}} \left(\frac{S_{yzh}}{\bar{Z}_{1h}} - \frac{S_{yh}}{\bar{X}_{1h}} \right) \right] \quad (5)$$

$$MSE(\bar{y}_{Tet.st}) = \sum_{h=1}^L \theta_h W_h^2 [S_{y,h}^2 + R_1^2 S_{xh}^2 + R_2^2 S_{zh}^2 - 2R_1 S_{yzh} - 2R_1 R_2 S_{xz}] \quad (6)$$

where,

$$R_1 = \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{X}}; R_2 = \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{Z}}; \bar{X}_{1h} = \frac{\bar{Y}}{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \{\bar{X}_h + \beta_{2h}(x)\}}; \bar{Z}_{1h} = \frac{\bar{Y}}{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \{\bar{Z}_h + \beta_{2h}(z)\}}$$

Dual to ratio-cum-product estimator

Estimator [12] in stratified sampling is modification of [10] estimator using two transformed auxiliary variables. It is given as:



$$\bar{y}_{YT.st} = \bar{y}_{st} \left[\frac{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \{\bar{x}_h^* + \beta_{2h}(x)\}}{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \{\bar{X}_h + \beta_{2h}(x)\}} \right] \left[\frac{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \{\bar{z}_h^* + \beta_{2h}(z)\}}{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \{\bar{Z}_h + \beta_{2h}(z)\}} \right] \quad (7)$$

$$Bias(\bar{y}_{YT.st}) = \bar{Y}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L \theta_h W_h^2 g_h \left[\frac{g_h}{\bar{Z}_{1h}} \left(\frac{S_{zh}^2}{\bar{Z}_{1h}} - \frac{S_{xzh}}{\bar{X}_{1h}} \right) + \frac{1}{\bar{Y}} \left(\frac{S_{yzh}}{\bar{Z}_{1h}} - \frac{S_{yhz}}{\bar{X}_{1h}} \right) \right] \quad (8)$$

$$MSE(\bar{y}_{YT.st}) = \sum_{h=1}^L \theta_h W_h^2 [S_{y,h}^2 + g_h^2 R_{12}^2 S_{xh}^2 + g_h^2 R_{13}^2 S_{zh}^2 - 2g_h R_{12} S_{yxh} + 2g_h R_{13} S_{yzh} - 2g_h^2 R_{12} R_{13} S_{xzh}] \quad (9)$$

where,

$$R_{12} = \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{X}_{1h}} \text{ and } R_{13} = \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{Z}_{1h}}$$

Proposed estimator under stratified sampling scheme

Motivated by [11], we propose the following hybrid exponential type regression-cum-ratio estimators of finite population mean in stratified random sampling under two conditions.

Case I: Proposed estimator under stratified sampling when auxiliary variables are not transformed

The proposed estimator when the variables are not transformed is given as:

$$\bar{y}_{uv.st} = [\bar{y}_{st} + \beta_h(\bar{Z}_{st} - \bar{z}_{st})] \exp \left[\alpha_h \left(\frac{\bar{X}_{st} - \bar{x}_{st}}{\bar{X}_{st} + \bar{x}_{st}} \right) \right] \quad (10)$$

where, β_h and α_h are suitably constants which minimize the MSE of $\bar{y}_{uv.st}$.

We define:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y}_h &= \bar{Y}_h(1 + e_{y,h}); \bar{x}_h = \bar{X}_h(1 + e_{x,h}); \bar{z}_h = \bar{Z}_h(1 + e_{z,h}); E(e_{y,h}) = E(e_{x,h}) = \\ E(e_{z,h}) &= 0, E(e_{y,h}^2) = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \theta_h C_{y,h}^2; E(e_{x,h}^2) = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \theta_h C_{x,h}^2; E(e_{z,h}^2) = \\ \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \theta_h C_{z,h}^2; E(e_{y,h}e_{x,h}) &= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \theta_h \rho_{y,x,h} C_{y,h} C_{x,h}; E(e_{y,h}e_{z,h}) = \\ \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \theta_h C_{y,h} C_{z,h}; E(e_{x,h}e_{z,h}) &= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \theta_h \rho_{x,z,h} C_{x,h} C_{z,h} \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\theta_h = \left(\frac{1}{n_h} - \frac{1}{N_h} \right); h = 1, 2, \dots, L, \text{ is the sampling fraction of the } h^{th} \text{ stratum.}$$

Theorem 1:

The bias of the alternative hybrid regression-cum-ratio exponential type estimator of finite population mean under

SRS for stratification when the auxiliary variables are not transformed is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} Bias(\bar{y}_{uv.st}) &= \bar{Y}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \theta_h \left(\frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 C_{xh}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h \rho_{yxh} C_{xh} C_{yh} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \beta_h \bar{Z}_{st} \alpha_h \theta_h \rho_{xzh} C_{xh} C_{zh} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Proof of Theorem 1:

Consider the expression in equation (10) above. Inserting the appropriate definitions of section 2 into the following expression, we have:

$$\bar{y}_{uv.st} = [\bar{y}_{st} + \beta_h(\bar{Z}_{st} - \bar{z}_{st})] \exp \left[\alpha_h \left(\frac{\bar{X}_{st} - \bar{x}_{st}}{\bar{X}_{st} + \bar{x}_{st}} \right) \right]$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{y}_h + \beta_h \left(\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Z}_h - \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{z}_h \right) \right] \\
&\quad * \exp \left[\alpha_h \left(\frac{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{X}_h - \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{x}_h}{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{X}_h + \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{x}_h} \right) \right] \\
&= \left[\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h (1 + e_{yh}) + \beta_h \left(\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Z}_h - \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{z}_h (1 + e_{zh}) \right) \right] \\
&\quad * \exp \left[\alpha_h \left(\frac{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{X}_h - \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{X}_h (1 + e_{xh})}{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{X}_h + \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{X}_h (1 + e_{xh})} \right) \right] \\
&= \left[\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h (1 + e_{yh}) + \beta_h \left(\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Z}_h - \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{z}_h (1 + e_{zh}) \right) \right] \\
&\quad * \exp \left\{ \alpha_h \left[\frac{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{X}_h (1 - (1 + e_{xh}))}{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{X}_h (1 + (1 + e_{xh}))} \right] \right\} \\
&= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h [\bar{Y}_h (1 + e_{yh}) - \beta_h \bar{z}_h e_{zh}] \exp \left[\alpha_h \left(\frac{-e_{xh}}{2 + e_{xh}} \right) \right] \\
&= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h [\bar{Y}_h + \bar{Y} e_{yh} - \beta_h \bar{z}_h e_{zh}] \exp \left[\frac{-\alpha_h e_{xh}}{2} \left(1 + \frac{e_{xh}}{2} \right)^{-1} \right] \\
&= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h [\bar{Y}_h + \bar{Y} e_{yh} - \beta_h \bar{z}_h e_{zh}] * \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} e_{xh} + \frac{1}{4} e_{xh}^2 - \dots \right) \right] \\
&= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h [\bar{Y}_h + \bar{Y} e_{yh} - \beta_h \bar{z}_h e_{zh}] \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{4} \alpha_h e_{xh}^2 - \frac{1}{8} \alpha_h e_{xh}^3 + \dots \right]
\end{aligned}$$

By First order approximation principle, we have:

$$= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h [\bar{Y}_h + \bar{Y} e_{yh} - \beta_h \bar{z}_h e_{zh}] \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} \right] \quad (12)$$

Expanding the exponential part of the expression in (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h [\bar{Y}_h + \bar{Y} e_{yh} - \beta_h \bar{z}_h e_{zh}] \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{2!} \cdot \frac{1}{2^2} \alpha_h^2 e_{xh}^2 - \frac{1}{3!} \cdot \frac{1}{2^3} \alpha_h^3 e_{xh}^3 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \dots \right] \\
&= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h [\bar{Y}_h + \bar{Y} e_{yh} - \beta_h \bar{z}_h e_{zh}] \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 e_{xh}^2 - \frac{1}{48} \alpha_h^3 e_{xh}^3 + \dots \right] \\
&= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h [\bar{Y}_h + \bar{Y} e_{yh} - \beta_h \bar{z}_h e_{zh}] \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 e_{xh}^2 \right]
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \left\{ \bar{Y}_h \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 e_{xh}^2 \right] + \bar{Y}_h e_{yh} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 e_{xh}^2 \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \beta_h \bar{Z}_h e_{zh} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 e_{xh}^2 \right] \right\} \\
&= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \left\{ \bar{Y}_h \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 e_{xh}^2 \right] + \bar{Y}_h \left[e_{yh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} e_{yh} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 e_{xh}^2 e_{yh} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \beta_h \bar{Z}_h \left[e_{zh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} e_{zh} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 e_{xh}^2 e_{zh} \right] \right\} \\
&= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \left\{ \bar{Y}_h \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 e_{xh}^2 + e_{yh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} e_{yh} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 e_{xh}^2 e_{yh} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \beta_h \bar{Z}_h \left[e_{zh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} e_{zh} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 e_{xh}^2 e_{zh} \right] \right\} \\
&= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \left\{ \bar{Y}_h + \bar{Y}_h \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 e_{xh}^2 + e_{yh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} e_{yh} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \beta_h \bar{Z}_h \left[e_{zh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} e_{zh} \right] \right\} \\
\bar{y}_{uv.st} - \bar{Y}_{st} &= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \left\{ \bar{Y}_h \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 e_{xh}^2 + e_{yh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} e_{yh} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \beta_h \bar{Z}_h \left[e_{zh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} e_{zh} \right] \right\} \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation on both sides of (13), and inserting the definitions of expectations above, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
Bias(\bar{y}_{uv}) &= E(\bar{y}_{uv.st} - \bar{Y}_{st}) \\
&= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \left\{ \bar{Y}_h E \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 e_{xh}^2 + e_{yh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} e_{yh} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \beta_h \bar{Z}_h E \left[e_{zh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} e_{zh} \right] \right\}
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_h E(e_{xh}) + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 E(e_{xh}^2) + E(e_{yh}) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h E(e_{xh} e_{yh}) \right] \\
&\quad - \beta_h \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Z}_h \left[E(e_{zh}) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h E(e_{xh} e_{zh}) \right] \\
&= \bar{Y}_{st} \left[0 + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \alpha_h^2 \theta_h C_{xh}^2 + 0 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \alpha_h \theta_h \rho_{yxh} C_{xh} C_{yh} \right] \\
&\quad - \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \beta_h \bar{Z}_{st} \left[0 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h \theta_h \rho_{xzh} C_{xh} C_{zh} \right] \\
&= \bar{Y}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \theta_h \left(\frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 C_{xh}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h \rho_{yxh} C_{xh} C_{yh} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \beta_h \bar{Z}_{st} \alpha_h \theta_h \rho_{xzh} C_{xh} C_{zh}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
Bias(\bar{y}_{uv.st}) &= \bar{Y}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \theta_h \left(\frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 C_{xh}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h \rho_{y,x,h} C_{x,h} C_{y,h} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \beta_h \bar{Z}_{st} \alpha_h \theta_h \rho_{x,z,h} C_{x,h} C_{z,h}
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2:

The Mean Square Error (MSE) of the alternative hybrid regression-ratio exponential type estimator finite

population mean under simple random sampling without replacement for stratified sampling is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
MSE(\bar{y}_{uv.st}) &= \bar{Y}_{st}^2 \sum_{h=1}^L \frac{W_h^2 C_{yh}^2 \theta_h}{\rho_{x,z,h}^2 - 1} (\rho_{y,x,h}^2 + \rho_{x,z,h}^2 + \rho_{y,z,h}^2 - 2\rho_{y,x,h} \rho_{x,z,h} \rho_{y,z,h} - 1); \\
&\quad \rho_{y,x,h}, \rho_{x,z,h}, \rho_{y,z,h} \neq \pm 1 \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 2:

$MSE(\bar{y}_{uv}) = E(\bar{y}_{uv.st} - \bar{Y}_{st})^2$, therefore, squaring both sides of (13), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bar{y}_{uv.st} - \bar{Y}_{st})^2 &= \left[\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \left\{ \bar{Y}_h \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 e_{xh}^2 + e_{yh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} e_{yh} \right] \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \beta_h \bar{Z}_h \left[e_{zh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} e_{zh} \right] \right\} \right]^2 \\
&= \left[\sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h \left(e_{yh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} \right) - \beta_h \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Z}_h e_{zh} \right]^2
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[\sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \bar{Y}_h^2 \left(e_{yh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} \right)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \left(\beta_h \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Z}_h e_{zh} \right) \sum_{h=1}^L W_h \bar{Y}_h \left(e_{yh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \beta_h^2 \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \bar{Z}_h^2 e_{zh}^2 \right] \\
&= \bar{Y}_{st}^2 \left(e_{yh}^2 - 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} e_{yh} + \frac{1}{4} \alpha_h^2 e_{xh}^2 \right) \\
&\quad - 2 \beta_h \bar{Y}_{st} \bar{Z}_{st} \left(e_{yh} e_{zh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h e_{xh} e_{zh} \right) + \beta_h^2 \bar{Z}_{st}^2 e_{zh}^2 \\
E(\bar{y}_{uv.st} - \bar{Y}_{st})^2 &= \bar{Y}_{st}^2 \left(E(e_{yh}^2) - \alpha_h E(e_{xh} e_{yh}) + \frac{1}{4} \alpha_h^2 E(e_{xh}^2) \right) \\
&\quad - 2 \beta_h \bar{Y}_{st} \bar{Z}_{st} \left[E(e_{yh} e_{zh}) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h E(e_{xh} e_{zh}) \right] \\
&\quad + \beta_h^2 \bar{Z}_{st}^2 E(e_{zh}^2) \\
MSE(\bar{y}_{uv.st}) &= E(\bar{y}_{uv.st} - \bar{Y}_{st})^2 \\
&= \bar{Y}_{st}^2 \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \left(\theta_h C_{yh}^2 - \alpha_h \theta_h \rho_{yxh} C_{xh} C_{yh} + \frac{1}{4} \alpha_h^2 \theta_h C_{xh}^2 \right) \\
&\quad - 2 \bar{Z}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \beta_h \bar{Y}_{st} \left(\theta_h \rho_{yzh} C_{yh} C_{zh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h \theta_h \rho_{xzh} C_{xh} C_{zh} \right) \\
&\quad + \bar{Z}_{st}^2 \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \beta_h^2 \theta_h C_{zh}^2 \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain the optimal value of α_h and β_h that minimizes the $MSE(\bar{y}_{uv.st})$, we differentiate (15) with respect to α_h, β_h and equate to zero.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial MSE(\bar{y}_{uv.st})}{\partial \alpha_h} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left\{ \bar{Y}_{st}^2 \left(\theta_h C_{yh}^2 - \alpha_h \theta_h \rho_{yxh} C_{xh} C_{yh} + \frac{1}{4} \alpha_h^2 \theta_h C_{xh}^2 \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \beta_h \bar{Y}_{st} \bar{Z}_{st} \left(\theta_h \rho_{yzh} C_{yh} C_{zh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h \theta_h \rho_{xzh} C_{xh} C_{zh} \right) + \beta_h^2 \bar{Z}_{st}^2 \theta_h C_{zh}^2 \right\} = 0 \\
\Rightarrow \bar{Y}_{st}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_h \theta_h C_{xh}^2 - \theta_h \rho_{yxh} C_{xh} C_{yh} \right) &+ \beta_h \bar{Y}_{st} \bar{Z}_{st} \theta_h \rho_{xzh} C_{xh} C_{zh} = 0 \\
\bar{Y}_{st}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_h \theta_h C_{xh}^2 - \theta_h \rho_{yxh} C_{xh} C_{yh} \right) &= - \beta_h \bar{Y}_{st} \bar{Z}_{st} \theta_h \rho_{xzh} C_{xh} C_{zh} \\
\frac{\alpha_h}{2} &= \frac{\bar{Y}_{st} \rho_{yxh} C_{xh} C_{yh} - \beta_h \bar{Z}_{st} \rho_{xzh} C_{xh} C_{zh}}{\bar{Y}_{st} C_{xh}^2} \\
\alpha_h &= \frac{2(\bar{Y}_{st} \rho_{yxh} C_{yh} - \beta_h \bar{Z}_{st} \rho_{xzh} C_{zh})}{\bar{Y}_{st} C_{xh}} \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial MSE(\bar{y}_{uv.st})}{\partial \beta_h} &= 2\bar{Y}_{st}\bar{Z}_{st}\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha_h\theta_h\rho_{xzh}C_{xh}C_{zh} - \theta_h\rho_{yzh}C_{yh}C_{zh}\right) + 2\beta_h\bar{Z}_{st}^2C_{zh}^2\theta_h \\ \bar{Y}_{st}\bar{Z}_{st}\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha_h\theta_h\rho_{xzh}C_{xh}C_{zh} - \theta_h\rho_{yzh}C_{yh}C_{zh}\right) + \beta_h\bar{Z}_{st}^2C_{zh}^2\theta_h &= 0 \\ \beta_h\bar{Z}_{st}C_{zh}\theta_h &= \bar{Y}_{st}\left(\theta_h\rho_{yzh}C_{yh} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_h\theta_h\rho_{xzh}C_{xh}\right) \\ \beta_h &= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\bar{Y}_{st}(\alpha_h\rho_{xzh}C_{xh} - 2\rho_{yzh}C_{yh})}{\bar{Z}_{st}C_{zh}}\end{aligned}\quad (17a)$$

Putting (16) into (17a):

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_h &= -\frac{\bar{Y}_{st}\rho_{yxh}\rho_{xzh}C_{yh} - \beta_h\bar{Z}_{st}\rho_{xzh}^2C_{zh} - \bar{Y}_{st}\rho_{yzh}C_{yh}}{\bar{Z}_{st}C_{zh}} \\ -\beta_h\bar{Z}_{st}C_{zh} &= \bar{Y}_{st}\rho_{yxh}\rho_{xzh}C_{yh} - \beta_h\bar{Z}_{st}\rho_{xzh}^2C_{zh} - \bar{Y}_{st}\rho_{yzh}C_{yh} \\ \beta_h\bar{Z}_{st}\rho_{xzh}^2C_{zh} - \beta_h\bar{Z}_{st}C_{zh} &= \bar{Y}_{st}\rho_{yxh}\rho_{xzh}C_{yh} - \bar{Y}_{st}\rho_{yzh}C_{yh} \\ \beta_h &= \frac{\bar{Y}_{st}(\rho_{yxh}\rho_{xzh}C_{yh} - \rho_{yzh}C_{yh})}{\bar{Z}_{st}\rho_{xzh}^2C_{zh} - \bar{Z}_{st}C_{zh}}\end{aligned}\quad (17b)$$

Substituting the value of (16) and (17b) into Equation (15), gives on simplification:

$$\begin{aligned}MSE(\bar{y}_{uv.st}) &= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \theta_h (\bar{Y}_{st}^2 C_{yh}^2 - \bar{Y}_{st}^2 C_{yhh} \rho_{yxh}^2 + 2\beta_h \bar{Y}_{st} \bar{Z}_{st} \rho_{xzh} \rho_{yxh} C_{yh} C_{zh} \\ &\quad - 2\beta_h \bar{Y}_{st} \bar{Z}_{st} \rho_{yzh} C_{yh} C_{zh} - \beta_h^2 \bar{Z}_{st}^2 \rho_{xzh}^2 C_{zh}^2 - \beta_h^2 \bar{Z}_{st}^2 C_{zh}^2) \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \frac{\bar{Y}_{st}^2 C_{yh}^2 \theta_h (\rho_{yxh}^2 + \rho_{xzh}^2 + \rho_{yzh}^2 - 2\rho_{yxh}\rho_{xzh}\rho_{yzh} - 1)}{\rho_{xzh}^2 - 1}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$MSE(\bar{y}_{uv.st}) = \bar{Y}_{st}^2 \sum_{h=1}^L \frac{W_h^2 C_{y,h}^2 \theta_h}{\rho_{x,z,h}^2 - 1} (\rho_{y,x,h}^2 + \rho_{x,z,h}^2 + \rho_{y,z,h}^2 - 2\rho_{y,x,h}\rho_{x,z,h}\rho_{y,z,h} - 1); \rho_{xzh}, \rho_{yzh}, \rho_{yxh} \neq \pm 1$$

We now, substitute the values of α_h and β_h from (16) and (17b) respectively, into (11) to obtain bias of $\bar{y}_{uv.st}$ as:

$$\begin{aligned}Bias(\bar{y}_{uv.st}) &= \bar{Y}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \theta_h \left(\frac{1}{8} \alpha_h^2 C_{xh}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_h \rho_{y,x,h} C_{x,h} C_{y,h} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \beta_h \bar{Z}_{st} \alpha_h \theta_h \rho_{x,z,h} C_{x,h} \\ \therefore Bias(\bar{y}_{uv.st}) &= -\frac{1}{2} \bar{Y}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \frac{C_{y,h}^2 \theta_h}{(\rho_{xzh}^2 - 1)^2} (\rho_{xzh} \rho_{yzh} - \rho_{yxh})^2, \quad \rho_{xzh} \neq 1\end{aligned}\quad (18)$$

Case II: Proposed estimator in stratified random sampling when auxiliary variables are transformed.

The proposed estimator when the variables are transformed is given as:

$$\bar{y}_{tv.st} = [\bar{y}_{st} + \beta_{1h}(\bar{z}_{st}^* - \bar{Z}_{st})] \exp \left[\alpha_{1h} \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{st}^* - \bar{X}_{st}}{\bar{X}_{st} + \bar{x}_{st}^*} \right) \right] \quad (19)$$

Publication of College of Science, Joseph SarwuanTarka University, Makurdi

<https://fuamjpas.org/ng/>



where, β_{1h} and α_{1h} suitably chosen constants that minimize MSE of $\bar{y}_{tv.st}$.

Theorem 3

The bias of the hybrid regression-cum-ratio exponential type estimator using SRSWOR, under stratified random

sampling when the auxiliary variables are transformed is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} Bias(\bar{y}_{tv.st}) = \bar{Y}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_h \theta_h \rho_{yxh} C_{xh} C_{yh} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_{1h}^2 g_h^2 \theta_h C_{xh}^2 \right] \\ + \frac{1}{2} \bar{Z}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \beta_{1h} \alpha_{1h} g_h^2 \theta_h \rho_{xzh} C_{xh} C_{zh} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Proof:

Consider the expression in (19)

$$\bar{y}_{tv.st} = [\bar{y}_{st} + \beta_{1h}(\bar{z}_{st}^* - \bar{Z}_{st})] \exp \left[\alpha_{1h} \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{st}^* - \bar{X}_{st}}{\bar{X}_{st} + \bar{x}_{st}^*} \right) \right]$$

By substituting the basic definitions for $\bar{y}_{st}$, $\bar{x}_{st}^*$ and $\bar{z}_{st}^*$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y}_{tv.st} &= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h [(1 + e_{yh}) \bar{Y}_h + \beta_{1h} (1 - g_h e_{zh}) \bar{Z}_h - \bar{Z}_h] \\ &\quad * \exp \left\{ \alpha_{1h} \left[\frac{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h ((1 - g_h e_{xh}) \bar{X}_h - \bar{X}_h)}{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h (\bar{X}_h + (1 - g_h e_{xh}) \bar{X}_h)} \right] \right\} \\ &= [\bar{Y}_{st} + \bar{Y}_{st} e_{yh} - \beta_{1h} g_h e_{zh} \bar{Z}_h] \exp \left[\alpha_{1h} \left(\frac{-g_h e_{xh}}{2 - g_h e_{xh}} \right) \right] \\ &= [\bar{Y}_{st} + \bar{Y}_{st} e_{yh} - \beta_{1h} g_h e_{zh} \bar{Z}_h] \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_h e_{xh} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} g_h e_{xh} \right)^{-1} \right] \\ &= [\bar{Y}_{st} + \bar{Y}_{st} e_{yh} - \beta_{1h} g_h e_{zh} \bar{Z}_h] \\ &\quad * \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_h e_{xh} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} g_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{4} g_h^2 e_{xh}^2 + \frac{1}{8} g_h^3 e_{xh}^3 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \dots \right) \right] \\ &= [\bar{Y}_{st} + \bar{Y}_{st} e_{yh} - \beta_{1h} g_h e_{zh} \bar{Z}_h] \\ &\quad * \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{4} \alpha_{1h} g_h^2 e_{xh}^2 - \frac{1}{8} \alpha_{1h} g_h^3 e_{xh}^3 + \dots \right] \end{aligned}$$

By first order approximation,

$$= [\bar{Y}_{st} + \bar{Y}_{st} e_{yh} - \beta_{1h} g_h e_{zh} \bar{Z}_h] \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_h e_{xh} \right] \quad (21)$$

Expanding the exponential part of (21) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &= [\bar{Y}_{st} + \bar{Y}_{st} e_{yh} - \beta_{1h} g_h e_{zh} \bar{Z}_h] \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_h e_{xh} \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{3!} \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_h e_{xh} \right)^3 + \dots \right] \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= [\bar{Y}_{st} + \bar{Y}_{st}e_{yh} - \beta_{1h}g_h e_{zh}\bar{Z}_h] \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{8}\alpha_{1h}^2 g_h^2 e_{xh}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{48}\alpha_{1h}^3 g_h^3 e_{xh}^3 + \dots \right] \\
&= [\bar{Y}_{st} + \bar{Y}_{st}e_{yh} - \beta_{1h}g_h e_{zh}\bar{Z}_h] \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{8}\alpha_{1h}^2 g_h^2 e_{xh}^2 \right] \\
&= \bar{Y}_{st} + \bar{Y}_{st}e_{yh} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{8}\alpha_{1h}^2 g_h^2 e_{xh}^2 \right] \\
&\quad - \beta_{1h}g_{1h}e_{zh}\bar{Z}_h \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_{1h}e_{xh} + \frac{1}{8}\alpha_{1h}^2 g_{1h}^2 e_{xh}^2 \right] \\
&= \bar{Y}_{st} + \bar{Y}_{st} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_h e_{xh} + \frac{1}{8}\alpha_{1h}^2 g_h^2 e_{xh}^2 \right] \\
&\quad + \bar{Y}_{st} \left[e_{yh} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_h e_{xh}e_{yh} + \frac{1}{8}\alpha_{1h}^2 g_h^2 e_{xh}^2 e_{yh} \right] \\
&\quad - \beta_{1h}\bar{Z}_h \left[g_{1h}e_{zh} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_{1h}^2 e_{xh}e_{zh} + \frac{1}{8}\alpha_{1h}^2 g_{1h}^3 e_{xh}^2 e_{zh} \right] \\
\bar{y}_{tv.st} - \bar{Y}_{st} &= \bar{Y}_{st} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_{1h}e_{xh} + \frac{1}{8}\alpha_{1h}^2 g_{1h}^2 e_{xh}^2 \right] + \bar{Y}_{st} \left[e_{yh} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_{1h}e_{xh}e_{yh} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \beta_{1h}\bar{Z}_h \left[g_{1h}e_{zh} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_{1h}^2 e_{xh}e_{zh} \right] \right] \\
\bar{y}_{tv.st} - \bar{Y}_{st} &= \bar{Y}_{st} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_{1h}e_{xh} + e_{yh} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_{1h}e_{xh}e_{yh} + \frac{1}{8}\alpha_{1h}^2 g_{1h}^2 e_{xh}^2 \right] \\
&\quad - \beta_{1h}\bar{Z}_{st} \left[g_{1h}e_{zh} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_{1h}^2 e_{xh}e_{zh} \right] \tag{22}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation on both sides of (22) gives us the bias.

$$\begin{aligned}
Bias(\bar{y}_{tv.st}) &= E(\bar{y}_{tv.st} - \bar{Y}_{st}) \\
&= \bar{Y}_{st} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_{1h}E(e_{xh}) + E(e_{yh}) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_{1h}E(e_{xh}e_{yh}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{8}\alpha_{1h}^2 g_{1h}^2 E(e_{xh}^2) \right] \\
&\quad - \beta_{1h}\bar{Z}_{st} \left[g_{1h}E(e_{zh}) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_{1h}^2 E(e_{xh}e_{zh}) \right] \\
&= \bar{Y}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \left[0 + 0 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_{1h}\theta_{1h}\rho_{yxh}C_{xh}C_{yh} + \frac{1}{8}\alpha_{1h}^2 g_{1h}^2 \theta_{1h}C_{xh}^2 \right] \\
&\quad - \beta_{1h}\bar{Z}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \left[0 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_{1h}^2 \theta_{1h}\rho_{xzh}C_{xh}C_{zh} \right] \\
&= \bar{Y}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \left[-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_{1h}\theta_{1h}\rho_{yxh}C_{xh}C_{yh} + \frac{1}{8}\alpha_{1h}^2 g_{1h}^2 \theta_{1h}C_{xh}^2 \right] \\
&\quad + \beta_{1h}\bar{Z}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \left[\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1h}g_{1h}^2 \theta_{1h}\rho_{xzh}C_{xh}C_{zh} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,



$$\begin{aligned} Bias(\bar{y}_{tv}) = \bar{Y}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \left[\frac{1}{8} \alpha_{1h}^2 g_{1h}^2 \theta_{1h} C_{xh}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_{1h} \theta_{1h} \rho_{yxh} C_{xh} C_{yh} \right] \\ + \frac{1}{2} \bar{Z}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \beta_{1h} \alpha_{1h} g_{1h}^2 \theta_{1h} \rho_{xzh} C_{xh} C_{zh} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4

The MSE of the hybrid regression–ratio exponential type estimator in stratified random sampling is given by:

$$MSE(\bar{y}_{tv.st}) = \bar{Y}_{st}^2 \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \frac{C_{yh}^2 \theta_{1h}}{\rho_{xzh}^2 - 1} [\rho_{yzh}^2 + \rho_{xzh}^2 + \rho_{yxh}^2 - 2\rho_{yxh}\rho_{xzh}\rho_{yzh} - 1] \quad (23)$$

where, $\rho_{xzh}, \rho_{xzh}, \rho_{yzh} \pm 1$.

Proof:

In order to obtain the MSE of $\bar{y}_{tp.st}$, we square both sides of Equation (22) and take expectations.

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{y}_{tv.st} - \bar{Y}_{st})^2 &= \left[\bar{Y}_{st} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_{1h} e_{xh} + e_{yh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_{1h} e_{xh} e_{yh} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_{1h}^2 g_{1h}^2 e_{xh}^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \beta_{1h} \bar{Z}_{st} \left(g_{1h} e_{zh} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_{1h}^2 e_{xh} e_{zh} \right) \right]^2 \\ &= \left[\bar{Y}_{st} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_{1h} e_{xh} + e_{yh} \right) - \beta_{1h} \bar{Z}_{st} (g_{1h} e_{zh}) \right]^2 \\ &= \bar{Y}_{st}^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_{1h} e_{xh} + e_{yh} \right)^2 - 2\beta_{1h} \bar{Y}_{st} \bar{Z}_{st} g_{1h} e_{zh} \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_{1h} e_{xh} + e_{yh} \right) \\ &\quad + \beta_{1h}^2 \bar{Z}_{st}^2 g_{1h}^2 e_{zh}^2 \\ &= \bar{Y}_{st}^2 \left[\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{1h}^2 g_{1h}^2 e_{xh}^2 - \alpha_{1h} g_{1h} e_{xh} e_{yh} + e_{yh}^2 \right] \\ &\quad - 2\beta_{1h} \bar{Y}_{st} \bar{Z}_{st} \left[\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_{1h}^2 e_{xh} e_{zh} + g_{1h} e_{yh} e_{zh} \right] \\ &\quad + \beta_{1h}^2 \bar{Z}_{st}^2 g_{1h}^2 e_{zh}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Taking expectations on both sides of (24) and substituting the definition of expectations, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} E(\bar{y}_{tv.st} - \bar{Y}_{st})^2 &= \bar{Y}_{st}^2 \left[\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{1h}^2 g_{1h}^2 E(e_{xh}^2) - \alpha_{1h} g_{1h} E(e_{xh} e_{yh}) + E(e_{yh}^2) \right] \\ &\quad - 2\beta_{1h} \bar{Y}_{st} \bar{Z}_{st} \left[\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_{1h}^2 E(e_{xh} e_{zh}) + g_{1h} E(e_{yh} e_{zh}) \right] \\ &\quad + \beta_{1h}^2 \bar{Z}_{st}^2 g_{1h}^2 E(e_{zh}^2) \\ &= \bar{Y}_{st}^2 \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{1h}^2 g_{1h}^2 \theta_{1h} C_{xh}^2 - \alpha_{1h} g_{1h} \theta_{1h} \rho_{yxh} C_{xh} C_{yh} + \theta_{1h} C_{yh}^2 \right) \\ &\quad - 2\bar{Y}_{st} \bar{Z}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \beta_{1h} \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_{1h}^2 \theta_{1h} \rho_{xzh} C_{xh} C_{zh} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g_{1h} \theta_{1h} \rho_{yzh} C_{yh} C_{zh} \right) + \bar{Z}_{st}^2 \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \beta_{1h}^2 g_{1h}^2 \theta_{1h} C_{zh}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$MSE(\bar{y}_{tv.st}) = E(\bar{y}_{tv.st} - \bar{Y}_{st})^2$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \bar{Y}_{st}^2 \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{1h}^2 g_{1h}^2 \theta_{1h} C_{xh}^2 - \alpha_{1h} g_{1h} \theta_{1h} \rho_{yxh} C_{xh} C_{yh} + \theta_{1h} C_{yh}^2 \right) \\
&\quad - 2 \bar{Y}_{st} \bar{Z}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \beta_{1h} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1h} g_{1h}^2 \theta_{1h} \rho_{xzh} C_{xh} C_{zh} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + g_{1h} \theta_{1h} \rho_{yzh} C_{yh} C_{zh} \right) \\
&\quad + \bar{Z}_{st}^2 \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \beta_{1h}^2 g_{1h}^2 \theta_{1h} C_{zh}^2 \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain the value of α_{1h} , β_{1h} that minimizes the MSE, we differentiate Equation (25) partially with respect to α_{1h} , β_{1h} and equate to zero:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial MSE(\bar{y}_{tv.st})}{\partial \alpha_{1,h}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_{1,h}} \left[\bar{Y}_{st}^2 \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{1,h}^2 g_{1,h}^2 \theta_{1,h} C_{x,h}^2 - \alpha_{1,h} g_{1,h} \theta_{1,h} \rho_{y,x,h} C_{x,h} C_{y,h} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \theta_{1,h} C_{y,h}^2 \right) - 2 \bar{Y}_{st} \bar{Z}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \beta_{1,h} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1,h} g_{1,h}^2 \theta_{1,h} \rho_{x,z,h} C_{x,h} C_{z,h} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + g_{1,h} \theta_{1,h} \rho_{y,z,h} C_{y,h} C_{z,h} \right) + \bar{Z}_{st}^2 \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \beta_{1,h}^2 g_{1,h}^2 \theta_{1,h} C_{z,h}^2 \right] = 0 \\
\bar{Y}_{st}^2 \left(2 \cdot \frac{1}{4} \alpha_{1,h} g_{1,h}^2 \theta_{1,h} C_{x,h}^2 - g_{1,h} \theta_{1,h} \rho_{y,x,h} C_{x,h} C_{y,h} \right) \\
&\quad - 2 \beta_{1,h} \bar{Y}_{st} \bar{Z}_{st} \left(\frac{1}{2} g_{1,h}^2 \theta_{1,h} \rho_{x,z,h} C_{x,h} C_{z,h} \right) = 0 \\
\bar{Y}_{st}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1,h} g_{1,h}^2 \theta_{1,h} C_{x,h}^2 - g_{1,h} \theta_{1,h} \rho_{y,x,h} C_{x,h} C_{y,h} \right) \\
&\quad + \beta_{1,h} \bar{Y}_{st} \bar{Z}_{st} g_{1,h}^2 \theta_{1,h} \rho_{x,z,h} C_{x,h} C_{z,h} = 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1,h} g_{1,h}^2 C_{x,h} &= \frac{\bar{Y}_{st} g_{1,h} \rho_{y,x,h} C_{y,h} - \beta_{1,h} \bar{Z}_{st} g_{1,h}^2 \rho_{x,z,h} C_{z,h}}{\bar{Y}_{st}} \\
\alpha_{1,h} &= \frac{\bar{Y}_{st} g_{1,h} \rho_{y,x,h} C_{y,h} - 2(\beta_{1,h} \bar{Z}_{st} g_{1,h}^2 \rho_{x,z,h} C_{z,h})}{\bar{Y}_{st} g_{1,h}^2 C_{x,h}}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1h} &= \frac{2(\bar{Y}_{st} \rho_{y,x,h} C_{y,h} - \beta_{1,h} \bar{Z}_{st} g_{1,h} \rho_{x,z,h} C_{z,h})}{\bar{Y}_{st} g_{1,h} C_{x,h}} \quad (26a) \\
\frac{\partial MSE(\bar{y}_{tv.st})}{\partial \beta_{1,h}} &= -2 \bar{Y}_{st} \bar{Z}_{st} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1,h} g_{1,h}^2 \theta_{1,h} \rho_{x,z,h} C_{x,h} C_{z,h} + g_{1,h} \theta_{1,h} \rho_{y,z,h} C_{y,h} C_{z,h} \right) \\
&\quad + 2 \beta_{1,h} \bar{Z}_{st}^2 g_{1,h}^2 \theta_{1,h} C_{z,h}^2 = 0 \\
-2 \bar{Y}_{st} \bar{Z}_{st} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1,h} g_{1,h}^2 \theta_{1,h} \rho_{x,z,h} C_{x,h} C_{z,h} + g_{1,h} \theta_{1,h} \rho_{y,z,h} C_{y,h} C_{z,h} \right) \\
&\quad - 2 \beta_{1,h} \bar{Z}_{st}^2 g_{1,h}^2 \theta_{1,h} C_{z,h}^2 = 0
\end{aligned}$$



$$\alpha_{1,h} = \frac{(\bar{Y}_{st}\rho_{x,z,h}C_{y,h} - \beta_{1,h}g_{1,h}\bar{Z}C_{z,h})}{\bar{Y}_{st}\rho_{x,z,h}C_{x,h}} \quad (26b)$$

Equating (29a) to (29b), and solving for $\beta_{1,h}$ we have:

$$\beta_{1,h} = \frac{\bar{Y}_{st}C_{y,h}(\rho_{x,z,h}\rho_{y,x,h} - \rho_{y,z,h})}{\bar{Z}_{st}g_{1,h}C_{z,h}(\rho_{x,z,h}^2 - 1)} \quad (27a)$$

Substituting (30a) into (3.104a) and simplifying, we have

$$\alpha_{1,h} = \frac{2C_{y,h}(\rho_{x,z,h}\rho_{y,x,h} - \rho_{y,z,h})}{g_{1,h}C_{z,h}(\rho_{x,z,h}^2 - 1)} \quad (27b)$$

Substituting (26a) and (27b) into (25), we have on simplification gives:

$$MSE(\bar{y}_{tv.st}) = \sum_{h=1}^L \frac{W_h^2 Y_{st}^2 \theta_{1,h} C_{y,h}^2}{\rho_{x,z,h}^2 - 1} (\rho_{y,z,h}^2 + \rho_{x,z,h}^2 + \rho_{y,x,h}^2 - 2\rho_{y,x,h}\rho_{x,z,h}\rho_{y,z,h} - 1)$$

Therefore,

$$MSE(\bar{y}_{tv.st}) = \bar{Y}_{st}^2 \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \frac{C_{y,h}^2 \theta_{1,h}}{\rho_{x,z,h}^2 - 1} (\rho_{y,z,h}^2 + \rho_{x,z,h}^2 + \rho_{y,x,h}^2 - 2\rho_{y,x,h}\rho_{x,z,h}\rho_{y,z,h} - 1)$$

where, $\rho_{y,x,h}, \rho_{x,z,h}, \rho_{y,z,h} \pm 1$.

Recall from (20) that:

$$\begin{aligned} Bias(\bar{y}_{tv.st}) = \bar{Y}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1,h} g_{1,h} \theta_{1,h} \rho_{y,x,h} C_{x,h} C_{y,h} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_{1,h}^2 g_{1,h}^2 \theta_{1,h} C_{x,h}^2 \right] \\ + \beta_{1,h} \bar{Z}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1,h} g_{1,h}^2 \theta_{1,h} \rho_{x,z,h} C_{x,h} C_{z,h} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (30a) and (30b) into the above expression gives on simplification

$$Bias(\bar{y}_{tv.st}) = \frac{1}{2} \bar{Y}_{st} \sum_{h=1}^L \frac{W_h^2 C_{y,h}^2 \theta_{1,h}}{(\rho_{x,z,h}^2 - 1)^2} (\rho_{x,z,h} \rho_{y,z,h} - \rho_{y,x,h})^2; \rho_{x,z} \neq 1 \quad (28)$$

Corollary 1:

The bias of the alternative hybrid estimator under stratified random sampling when the auxiliary variables are not transformed, $Bias(\bar{y}_{uv.st})$ is -1 times the bias of the alternative hybrid estimator when the auxiliary variables are transformed, $Bias(\bar{y}_{tv.st})$. i.e. $Bias(\bar{y}_{uv.st}) = -Bias(\bar{y}_{tv.st})$

Corollary 2:

The MSE of $\bar{y}_{tv.st}$ the alternative hybrid estimator under stratified random sampling when the auxiliary variables are

transformed, is independent of g and equals the MSE of $\bar{y}_{uv.st}$, the alternative hybrid estimator when the auxiliary variables are not transformed, i.e. $MSE(\bar{y}_{uv.st}) = MSE(\bar{y}_{tv.st})$

Efficiency Criteria

For two unbiased estimators T and T^* of θ , we compare the variances of the estimatorsto determine their relative efficiency, i.e. Relative Efficiency of T and T^* is:

$$RE(T, T^*; \theta) = \frac{Var(T^*)}{Var(T)} \quad (29)$$

We extend this to large sample variances of asymptotically unbiased estimators.

Let $\{T_i^*\}, i = 1, 2$ and $\{T_j\}, j = 1, 2, 3$ be asymptotically unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$, then it is said that T_i^* is Asymptotically EfficientRelative to $\{T_j\}$ if:

$$ARE(T_j, T_i^*; \theta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Var(T_i^*)}{Var(T_j)} \leq 1, \quad \theta \in \Theta, i = 1, 2; j = 1, 2, 3 \quad (30)$$



where $\{T_i^*\}, i = 1, 2$ are the proposed and $\{T_j\}, j = 1, 2, 3$ and existing and competing estimators [9], [10], [11] under consideration in this study.

Results

Table I: Statistics of Study Populations

Population Parameter	Population I	Population II	Population III
N	1000	1000	1000
$\bar{Y}$	3000.32	126.72	125.69
$\bar{X}$	2000.08	25.91	99.71
$\bar{Z}$	1000.02	75.28	83.04
S_y^2	97.50	5155.49	12839.33
S_x^2	26.71	208.08	9597.71
S_z^2	25.53	1832.56	6086.2
S_{yx}	-2.12	-16.34	-505.61
S_{yz}	0.248	29.19	-413.89
S_{xz}	-1.42	-22.23	-318.98
S_y	9.87	71.81	113.31
S_x	5.168	14.43	97.97
S_z	5.052	42.81	78.01
C_y	0.0033	0.718	0.902
C_x	0.0026	0.557	0.98
C_z	0.0051	0.569	0.94

**Table 2: Coefficient of Variation of Estimators for Populations I-III**

CCP	Estimator	Population I Sample size (n)				Population II Sample size (n)				Population III Sample size (n)			
		10	25	50	100	10	25	50	100	10	25	50	100
ρ_{HLL}	$\bar{y}_{uv.st}$	0.0116	0.0040	0.0057	0.0013	5.8650	2.5218	0.7995	0.2639	4.6854	0.8937	0.3714	0.1984
	$\bar{y}_{tv.st}$	0.0116	0.0040	0.0057	0.0013	5.8148	2.4536	0.7990	0.2605	4.8743	3.6859	2.7917	1.4585
	$\bar{y}_{Tet.st}$	0.0286	0.0258	0.0266	0.0108	10.7968	11.5826	5.7271	2.2202	17.8435	4.0003	2.7897	1.8392
	$\bar{y}_{YT.st}$	0.0241	0.0229	0.0205	0.0080	7.4116	4.7111	2.5533	1.3140	8.2492	1.9296	1.2522	0.8143
	$\bar{y}_{RP.st}$	0.0501	0.0441	0.0403	0.0113	17.8623	14.9265	7.1448	3.3589	23.7095	5.0702	3.3989	2.2009
ρ_{HHH}	$\bar{y}_{uv.st}$	0.0115	0.0034	0.003	0.0011	3.2046	2.4837	0.5505	0.2278	4.6751	0.7146	0.3265	0.2665
	$\bar{y}_{tv.st}$	0.0115	0.0034	0.003	0.0011	3.2142	2.4249	0.5430	0.2269	4.4975	0.5274	0.2118	0.1777
	$\bar{y}_{Tet.st}$	0.0493	0.0407	0.0278	0.0137	5.9747	6.2766	2.9863	1.3188	16.274	3.1830	2.2772	0.6634
	$\bar{y}_{YT.st}$	0.0242	0.0225	0.0182	0.0087	7.3724	4.5912	2.4185	1.3007	8.2585	1.9117	1.2256	0.7242
	$\bar{y}_{RP.st}$	0.0139	0.0216	0.0230	0.0021	15.3470	10.9000	5.1559	2.7904	17.316	3.1725	1.6658	1.4808
ρ_{LLL}	$\bar{y}_{uv.st}$	0.0211	0.0231	0.0179	0.0077	11.7215	7.4628	3.4823	2.3321	11.8764	2.5188	1.7998	1.3327
	$\bar{y}_{tv.st}$	0.0211	0.0231	0.0179	0.0077	11.7988	7.4295	3.4880	2.3364	11.3808	3.5056	2.4046	1.2769
	$\bar{y}_{Tet.st}$	0.0526	0.0436	0.0410	0.0178	14.0814	8.7589	3.3587	2.2388	17.5939	4.2813	2.6489	1.3338
	$\bar{y}_{YT.st}$	0.0239	0.0232	0.0181	0.0082	6.8779	4.3559	1.9492	1.3424	8.24931	1.9331	1.2396	0.7157
	$\bar{y}_{RP.st}$	0.0233	0.0269	0.0288	0.0123	20.5416	12.3171	4.7851	3.3885	20.0748	4.6881	2.8435	1.8062
ρ_{LLL}^-	$\bar{y}_{uv.st}$	0.0238	0.0200	0.0172	0.0086	9.0483	7.4887	2.7368	1.3830	11.9018	2.2326	1.6046	1.2788
	$\bar{y}_{tv.st}$	0.0238	0.0200	0.0172	0.0086	9.0073	7.5337	2.7484	1.3700	11.3827	1.4861	1.0958	1.2120
	$\bar{y}_{Tet.st}$	0.0441	0.0319	0.0268	0.0120	12.3857	8.1705	3.6095	3.5320	17.2646	3.8966	2.521	1.5165
	$\bar{y}_{YT.st}$	0.0358	0.0261	0.0204	0.0096	6.51863	4.3804	1.4923	0.8733	8.23653	1.915	1.222	0.7401
	$\bar{y}_{RP.st}$	0.0532	0.0270	0.024	0.0108	14.7522	11.4140	4.3175	4.6453	20.0748	4.6881	2.8435	1.8062

**Table 3: Asymptotic Relative Efficiency of Estimators for Populations I-III**

CCP	Estimator	Population I Sample size (n)				Population II Sample size (n)				Population III Sample size (n)			
		10	25	50	100	10	25	50	100	10	25	50	100
ρ_{HLL}	$\bar{y}_{uv.st}$	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
	$\bar{y}_{tv.st}$	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
	$\bar{y}_{Tet.st}$	0.1652	0.0238	0.0447	0.0142	0.2626	0.0514	0.0211	0.0133	0.1215	0.0418	0.0108	0.0073
	$\bar{y}_{YT.st}$	0.2317	0.0304	0.0760	0.0261	0.6587	0.2957	0.1060	0.0407	0.3583	0.1448	0.0510	0.0363
	$\bar{y}_{RP.st}$	0.0536	0.0081	0.0195	0.1283	0.1018	0.0327	0.0143	0.0061	0.1047	0.0250	0.0073	0.0051
ρ_{HHH}	$\bar{y}_{uv.st}$	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
	$\bar{y}_{tv.st}$	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
	$\bar{y}_{Tet.st}$	0.0546	0.0069	0.0116	0.0064	0.2593	0.1626	0.0353	0.0278	0.1215	0.0320	0.0092	0.0712
	$\bar{y}_{YT.st}$	0.2254	0.0225	0.0272	0.0160	0.2013	0.2892	0.0536	0.0308	0.2974	0.0716	0.0301	0.0581
	$\bar{y}_{RP.st}$	0.6803	0.0243	0.1093	0.2683	0.0417	0.0571	0.0125	0.0066	0.1633	0.0310	0.0171	0.0142
ρ_{LLL}	$\bar{y}_{uv.st}$	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
	$\bar{y}_{tv.st}$	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
	$\bar{y}_{Tet.st}$	0.1611	0.2780	0.1892	0.1858	0.6372	0.6603	1.1457	1.0326	0.6592	0.4186	0.4345	0.9644
	$\bar{y}_{YT.st}$	0.7813	0.9851	0.9732	0.8874	3.1157	3.0239	3.0650	3.1501	1.8894	1.6563	1.8840	3.2561
	$\bar{y}_{RP.st}$	0.8232	0.7260	0.3845	0.3918	0.4242	0.3539	0.5381	0.4764	0.5047	0.3360	0.3759	0.5227
ρ_{LLL}^-	$\bar{y}_{uv.st}$	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
	$\bar{y}_{tv.st}$	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
	$\bar{y}_{Tet.st}$	0.2912	0.3952	0.4081	0.5123	0.4750	0.8070	0.7525	0.2492	0.6844	0.5037	0.4796	0.7459
	$\bar{y}_{YT.st}$	0.4420	0.5879	0.7067	0.7950	1.9992	2.9991	3.2556	2.6955	1.8949	1.6820	1.9388	3.0450
	$\bar{y}_{RP.st}$	0.1998	0.5524	0.5107	0.6228	0.4637	0.4381	0.4096	0.2114	0.5046	0.3349	0.3759	0.5227



Discussion of Results

In this paper, dominance is measured on the minimum Coefficient of Variation (CV) and Asymptotic Relative efficiency criteria defined by (30) above. From Table 2, we consider the first partition of the parameter space denoted by ρ_{HLL} . The proposed estimators have dominated other estimators in the entire subspace for populations I and II respectively. In case of Population III, one of the proposed estimators, $\bar{y}_{uv.st}$ dominated its variant, $\bar{y}_{tv.st}$ and other estimators in this particular parameter subspace (ρ_{HLL}). The proposed estimators have been shown to be asymptotically efficient when compared with the other estimators regarding population(I-III) as shown in Table 3. In the second partition of the parameter space defined by ρ_{HHH} , it is obviously noticed that the proposed estimators dominated the other estimators in the entire subspace for all the three populations (I, II, III) as depicted in the second compartment of Table 1. They are also asymptotically most efficient than the other estimators (Table 2). In case of the third subdivision of the parameter space defined by ρ_{LLL} , the proposed estimators dominated the other estimators for

small sample sizes in Population I. However, as sample size increases the estimator $\bar{y}_{YT.st}$ becomes equally efficient as the proposed estimators. Interestingly, for populations II and III, it was observed that another estimator, $\bar{y}_{YT.st}$ turns out to dominate the other estimators throughout the subdivision. So, it can be said that the proposed estimators are asymptotically more efficient than the others for Population I while the estimator, $\bar{y}_{YT.st}$ is asymptotically more efficient considering population I and II respectively. In the fourth parameter subinterval defined by ρ_{LLL} , the proposed estimators dominated all the other estimators throughout the parameter space for population I. nevertheless, the estimator, $\bar{y}_{YT.st}$ dominated the proposed and the other competing estimators throughout the same subspace for population II and III respectively (Table 2, last compartment). The proposed estimators are therefore, asymptotically more efficient when compared to other estimators for population I but otherwise in case of populations II and III

Conclusion

In this paper, regression-cum-ratio exponential type estimators of finite population mean were proposed under stratified random sampling scheme. The expressions for the bias and Mean Square Error (MSE) of the estimators are derived and asymptotic properties of the proposed estimators investigated. A comprehensive simulation study to show the efficacy of the estimators as compared to

conventional estimators was carried out using Coefficient of Variation as a performance measure. The results of the simulation study have shown that the proposed estimators are more efficient than most of the existing estimators considered in this study namely, [9] estimator [10] estimator, as well as [11] estimator in stratified random sampling.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The authors declared no potential conflict of interest

References

- [1] Rashid, R., Noor-Ul-Amin, M., and Hanif, M. (2015). Exponential Estimators for Population Mean using Transformed Variables. *Applied Mathematics and Information Sciences-an International Journal*, 9(4): 2107-2112.
- [2] Singh, H. P. and Espejo, R. (2003). On Linear Regression and Ratio Product Estimation of a Finite Population Mean. *The Statistician*, 52: 59-67.
- [3] Singh, M. P. (1965). On the Estimation of Ratio and Product of the Population Parameters. *The Indian Journal of Statistics, Series B*, 27(3/4): 321-328.
- [4] Sisodia, B. V. S. and Dwivedi, V. K. (1981). A Class of Ratio-cum-Product Type Estimator in Double Sampling. *Biometric Journal*, 24(4): 419-424.
- [5] Bahl, S., and Tuteja, R. K. (1991). Ratio and Product Type Exponential Estimator. *Information and optimization sciences*, 12: 159-163.
- [6] Upadhyaya, L. N. and Singh, H. P. (1999). An Estimator for Population Variance that Utilizes the Kurtosis of an Auxiliary Variable in Sample Surveys. *Vikram Mathematical Journal*, 19: 14-17.
- [7] Singh, H. P. and Tailor, R. (2003). Use of Known Correlation Coefficient in Estimating the Finite Population Mean. *Statistics in Transition*, 6(4): 555-560.
- [8] Tailor, R. (2009). A Modified Ratio-cum-Product Estimator of Finite Population Mean in Stratified Random Sampling. *Data Science Journal*, 8: 182-189.
- [9] Tailor, R., Chouhan, S., Tailor, R., and Garg, N. (2012). A Ratio-cum-Product Estimator of Population Mean in Stratified Random Sampling using Two Auxiliary Variables. *Statistica*, 72(3): 286-477.



- [10] Tailor, R., Lakhre, A., Tailor, R., and Garg, N. (2015). An Improved Ratio-cum-Product Estimator of Mean using Coefficient of Kurtosis of the Auxiliary Variates in Stratified Random Sampling. *Journal of Reliability and Statistical Studies*, 8(2): 59-67.
- [11] Uba, T., A. J. Ikughur and S. C. Nwaosu (2021). An Alternative Hybrid Estimator of Finite Population Mean in Simple Random Sampling. *Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics*, 15(4): 276-298.
- [12] Yadav, R. and Tailor, R. (2020). Estimation of Finite Population Mean using Two Auxiliary Variables under Stratified Random Sampling. *Statistics in Transition-New Series*, 21(1): 1-12.
- [14] Ikughur, A. J., Ikyaagba, B. K., and Nwaosu, S. C. (2017). Exponential Ratio-Product Type Estimators in Stratified Random Sampling. *Journal of the Nigerian Statistical Association*, 29: 35-46.

Cite this article

Uba T., Ikughur A.J., Nwaosu S.C. and Agada P.O. (2023). Exponential Type Estimators of Finite Population Mean in Stratified Random Sampling FUAM Journal of Pure and Applied Science, 3(2):37-54



© 2023 by the author. Licensee **College of Science, Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University, Makurdi**. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the [Creative Commons Attribution \(CC\) license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).